## LAMASUS Land Management for Sustainability

Tamás Krisztin, IIASA Petr Havlík, IIASA



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 101060423. The information and views set out in this deliverable are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.



## LAMASUS Consortium

#### **17 Partners**

Stakeholder engagement and policies (WIFO) Ex-post regional econometric modeling (INRAE) Ex-post high-resolution econometric modeling (UV, UW) Ex-post farm-level modeling (ZHAW, TI, UB) Environmental impact models (PBL, UPS) Ex-ante macro-level land use modeling (IIASA, WUR, EC) Ex-ante high-resolution modeling (VUA) Ex-ante farm-level modeling (BOKU, RUR) Project coordination (AI)

JRC (spatial datasets, CAPRI modelling, LUISA, LUISA-BEES)

Funded by the European Union





#### Funded by the European Union 01/11/2023 | Dissemination: Sensitive

3

## Policy making across spatial scales and goals

#### **European Union**

CAP		Member States
European Climate	<image/>	CAP Strategic Plans
Law		National Energy & Climate Plans
LULUCF Regulation		National Restoration Plans
Law		Regulations
Deforestation-free products		<b>Planning tools</b>
	Funded by the European Union 01/11/2023   Dissemination: Sensitive   4	

## LAMASUS approach





- Guidance on multiple scales of the policy process
- Projections of current policy trajectories through the toolbox
- These are updated as new monitoring data comes in

## LAMASUS objectives



Provide a novel governance model, and the tools required to build sound policies for the transition within European Green Deal

- **1. Evidence** Continuously updated high-resolution land-use, landmanagement, and policy database
- **2. Understanding** Role of agricultural and forest policies in land use dynamics, and their economic and environmental impacts
- **3. Modelling toolbox** for the development, assessment and monitoring of landrelated policies across multiple levels of geographical scales
- **4. Multi-level policy co-design platform** User-friendly, web-based interface supporting dialogue among land-users, policymakers and other stakeholders

## Modelling Toolbox

Toolbox links key tools/models in national/EU land-use policy impact assessments for setting of environmental targets and appropriate policy instruments

- Macro-level models
- Country-level models
- Behavioural models
- High-resolution models

For toolbox to work models need to "talk" to each other! Ensured by common:

Funded by

the European Union

01/1

- Baseline
- Policy data
- Database on land-use and management



#### Impacts How do ...

- EU agrienvironmental policies (i.e., Green Deal, Farm-to-fork strategies, etc.)
  Markets
- Geo-political shocks

Impact land use/landmanagement (livestock, cropland, organic etc.) change, carbon, biodiversity, farm profitability?

## **Modelling impacts**

**Policy impacts** on land-use and land-management are linked to specialized models, which are integrated Toolbox:

- Economic
- Biomass / Carbon
- Biodiversity

Toolbox is informed **on elasticities of land-use and land-management to policy** decision: Estimated **using expost statistical** tools on observed data

unded by

the European Union



## Databases

#### Two databases

- Land-use and –management, 1km2, 1990 – 2020
- Agriculture and forestry policies

#### ensure that

- Toolbox models have common consistent starting points and
- Shared understanding of policies and land-management

unded by

he European Union







## Land-management database in detail

- Based on existing data sets (statistical and remote sensing)
- Interpolated annual Corine, LUCAS
- Land-use is easy, land management needs farm-level details!

Land Use	Land-management class Class		Management dimension	
Forests				
41.1 % of Total Area	Primary forests	Primary forests	Uneven-aged to even-aged trees	
	Multifunctional forests	Protection forests	Harvest intensity (0 to 1) increasing from 0 to 1 across these classes	
		NWFPs (cork extraction, mushrooms, pine kernels)		
		Recreation		
	Production forests	Intensive		
		Very intensive (plantation forestry)		
Cropland				
24.2 % of Total Area	Arable (eventually by major crop category)	Intensive farming, irrigated	Fertilizer (low, high, low chemical, organic), irrigation	
		Intensive farming, rainfed	(irrigated/rainfed), tillage (conventional, reduced, no tillage + mulching, rotation-adapted tillage), crop rotation (mono-cropping, crop rotation), plot size,	
		Extensive farming		
		Conservation farming		
		Organic farming	productivity, crop residue management practices	
	Permanent	Intensive farming, irrigated	Fertilizer (low, high, organic), irrigation (irrigated/rainfed)	
		Intensive farming, rainfed		
		Extensive farming		
		Conservation farming		
		Organic farming		
		Short rotation coppice		
Grassland				
17.4 % of Total Area	Natural	Unmanaged semi-natural and natural grassland (not supporting any livestock)	Harvest intensity level (how much biomass is harvested), Input intensity (fertilizer and/or chemicals) / species (livestock), Harvest method (grazing or mowing), share of semi-natural elements (pasture vs. semi-natural/mosaics), altitude, slope, heathland maps, Copernicus high-resolution layers	
	Managed	Extensively managed semi-natural grassland systems		
		Extensively managed pasture		
		Intensively managed pasture		
		Rough grazing		
		Silvopastural agroforestry		



## Land-management database in detail

- Based on existing data sets (statistical and remote sensing)
- Interpolated annual Corine, LUCAS
- Land-use is easy, land management needs farm-level details!

#### **Example: Economic** impacts

How do ...

- EU agrienvironmental policies (i.e., Green Deal, Farm-to-fork strategies, etc.)
- Markets
- Geo-political shocks

impact land-use/management (livestock. cropland,organic...) change, carbon, biodiversity, farm profitability?

**Estimate economic** costs associated with land-use and management

**OBJECTIVES** 

## How would it work in practice?



Wheat cost

12.5 10.0 7.5 5.0

## **Project needs**



#### 1. Data access is paramount

- FADN data, shared with BrightSpace project will enable us to harmonise across projects; this needs to be shared among modelling teams (and across projects) to make sure our models are compatible
- Other policy relevant data (e.g. CATS), mainly but not only payments, and any information on what happens at farms which we can assess and is not in the public domian

#### 2. A unified baseline

- Models have different strength and weaknesses in terms of capturing reality and outcomes
- They need to align along relevant indicators to ensure that their projections compatible

#### 3. Policy relevant outcomes

- Toolbox aims to help shape the policy discussion
- Need for clear communication on what (few) indicators are relevant from EC perspective

# Thanks for your attention!

## Any Questions?

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe Research and Innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 101060423. The information and views set out in this deliverable are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.